Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/500,586	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645	

	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	PLY FILED <u>23 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejectio E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		n condition for allowand	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
	/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph. Primary Examiner, Art U				